Naive Bayes and Gaussian Bayes Classifier

Elias Tragas tragas@cs.toronto.edu

October 3, 2016

Naive Bayes

Bayes Rules:

$$p(t|x) = \frac{p(x|t)p(t)}{p(x)}$$

Naive Bayes Assumption:

$$p(x|t) = \prod_{j=1}^{D} p(x_j|t)$$

Likelihood function:

$$L(\theta) = p(x, t|\theta) = p(x|t, \theta)p(t|\theta)$$

Example: Spam Classification

- Each vocabulary is one feature dimension.
- We encode each email as a feature vector $x \in \{0,1\}^{|V|}$
- $x_j = 1$ iff the vocabulary x_j appears in the email.

Example: Spam Classification

- Each vocabulary is one feature dimension.
- We encode each email as a feature vector $x \in \{0,1\}^{|V|}$
- $x_i = 1$ iff the vocabulary x_i appears in the email.
- We want to model the probability of any word x_j appearing in an email given the email is spam or not.
- Example: \$10,000, Toronto, Piazza, etc.

Example: Spam Classification

- Each vocabulary is one feature dimension.
- We encode each email as a feature vector $x \in \{0,1\}^{|V|}$
- $x_i = 1$ iff the vocabulary x_i appears in the email.
- We want to model the probability of any word x_j appearing in an email given the email is spam or not.
- Example: \$10,000, Toronto, Piazza, etc.
- Idea: Use Bernoulli distribution to model $p(x_j|t)$
- Example: p("\$10,000"|spam) = 0.3

Bernoulli Naive Bayes

Assuming all data points $x^{(i)}$ are i.i.d. samples, and $p(x_j|t)$ follows a Bernoulli distribution with parameter μ_{jt}

$$p(x^{(i)}|t^{(i)}) = \prod_{j=1}^{D} \mu_{jt^{(i)}}^{x_j^{(i)}} (1 - \mu_{jt^{(i)}})^{(1 - x_j^{(i)})}$$

Bernoulli Naive Bayes

Assuming all data points $x^{(i)}$ are i.i.d. samples, and $p(x_j|t)$ follows a Bernoulli distribution with parameter μ_{jt}

$$p(x^{(i)}|t^{(i)}) = \prod_{j=1}^{D} \mu_{jt^{(i)}}^{x_j^{(i)}} (1 - \mu_{jt^{(i)}})^{(1 - x_j^{(i)})}$$

$$p(t|x) \propto \prod_{i=1}^{N} p(t^{(i)}) p(x^{(i)}|t^{(i)}) = \prod_{i=1}^{N} p(t^{(i)}) \prod_{j=1}^{D} \mu_{jt^{(i)}}^{x_{j}^{(i)}} (1 - \mu_{jt^{(i)}})^{(1 - x_{j}^{(i)})}$$

Bernoulli Naive Bayes

Assuming all data points $x^{(i)}$ are i.i.d. samples, and $p(x_j|t)$ follows a Bernoulli distribution with parameter μ_{jt}

$$p(x^{(i)}|t^{(i)}) = \prod_{j=1}^{D} \mu_{jt^{(i)}}^{x_j^{(i)}} (1 - \mu_{jt^{(i)}})^{(1 - x_j^{(i)})}$$

$$p(t|x) \propto \prod_{i=1}^{N} p(t^{(i)}) p(x^{(i)}|t^{(i)}) = \prod_{i=1}^{N} p(t^{(i)}) \prod_{j=1}^{D} \mu_{jt^{(i)}}^{x_{j}^{(i)}} (1 - \mu_{jt^{(i)}})^{(1 - x_{j}^{(i)})}$$

where $p(t) = \pi_t$. Parameters π_t, μ_{jt} can be learnt using maximum likelihood.

$$\theta = [\mu, \pi]$$

$$\log L(\theta) = \log p(x, t|\theta)$$

$$\theta = [\mu, \pi]$$

$$\log L(\theta) = \log p(x, t|\theta)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(\log \pi_{t^{(i)}} + \sum_{j=1}^{D} x_{j}^{(i)} \log \mu_{jt^{(i)}} + (1 - x_{j}^{(i)}) \log (1 - \mu_{jt^{(i)}}) \right)$$

Want: $\arg \max_{\theta} \log L(\theta)$ subject to $\sum_{k} \pi_{k} = 1$

$$\frac{\partial \log L(\theta)}{\partial \mu_{jk}} = 0 \Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathbb{1}\left(t^{(i)} = k\right) \left(\frac{x_j^{(i)}}{\mu_{jk}} - \frac{1 - x_j^{(i)}}{1 - \mu_{jk}}\right) = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial \log L(\theta)}{\partial \mu_{jk}} = 0 \Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathbb{1}\left(t^{(i)} = k\right) \left(\frac{x_j^{(i)}}{\mu_{jk}} - \frac{1 - x_j^{(i)}}{1 - \mu_{jk}}\right) = 0$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathbb{1}\left(t^{(i)} = k\right) \left[x_{j}^{(i)}(1 - \mu_{jk}) - \left(1 - x_{j}^{(i)}\right)\mu_{jk}\right] = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial \log L(\theta)}{\partial \mu_{jk}} = 0 \Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathbb{1} \left(t^{(i)} = k \right) \left(\frac{x_j^{(i)}}{\mu_{jk}} - \frac{1 - x_j^{(i)}}{1 - \mu_{jk}} \right) = 0$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathbb{1} \left(t^{(i)} = k \right) \left[x_j^{(i)} (1 - \mu_{jk}) - \left(1 - x_j^{(i)} \right) \mu_{jk} \right] = 0$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathbb{1} \left(t^{(i)} = k \right) \mu_{jk} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathbb{1} \left(t^{(i)} = k \right) x_j^{(i)}$$

$$\frac{\partial \log L(\theta)}{\partial \mu_{jk}} = 0 \Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathbb{1} \left(t^{(i)} = k \right) \left(\frac{x_j^{(i)}}{\mu_{jk}} - \frac{1 - x_j^{(i)}}{1 - \mu_{jk}} \right) = 0$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathbb{1} \left(t^{(i)} = k \right) \left[x_j^{(i)} (1 - \mu_{jk}) - \left(1 - x_j^{(i)} \right) \mu_{jk} \right] = 0$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathbb{1} \left(t^{(i)} = k \right) \mu_{jk} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathbb{1} \left(t^{(i)} = k \right) x_j^{(i)}$$

$$\mu_{jk} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathbb{1} \left(t^{(i)} = k \right) x_{j}^{(i)}}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathbb{1} \left(t^{(i)} = k \right)}$$

Use Lagrange multiplier to derive π

$$\frac{\partial L(\theta)}{\partial \pi_k} + \lambda \frac{\partial \sum_{\kappa} \pi_{\kappa}}{\partial \pi_k} = 0 \Rightarrow \lambda = -\sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathbb{1} \left(t^{(i)} = k \right) \frac{1}{\pi_k}$$

Use Lagrange multiplier to derive π

$$\frac{\partial L(\theta)}{\partial \pi_k} + \lambda \frac{\partial \sum_{\kappa} \pi_{\kappa}}{\partial \pi_k} = 0 \Rightarrow \lambda = -\sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathbb{1}\left(t^{(i)} = k\right) \frac{1}{\pi_k}$$

$$\pi_k = -\frac{\sum_{i=1}^N \mathbb{1}\left(t^{(i)} = k\right)\right)}{\lambda}$$

Use Lagrange multiplier to derive π

$$\frac{\partial L(\theta)}{\partial \pi_k} + \lambda \frac{\partial \sum_{\kappa} \pi_{\kappa}}{\partial \pi_k} = 0 \Rightarrow \lambda = -\sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathbb{1} \left(t^{(i)} = k \right) \frac{1}{\pi_k}$$

$$\pi_k = -\frac{\sum_{i=1}^N \mathbb{1}\left(t^{(i)} = k\right)\right)}{\lambda}$$

Apply constraint: $\sum_k \pi_k = 1 \Rightarrow \lambda = -N$

$$\pi_k = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^N \mathbb{1}\left(t^{(i)} = k\right)\right)}{N}$$

Sanity check

$$\mu_{jk} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathbb{1} (t^{(i)} = k) x_{j}^{(i)}}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathbb{1} (t^{(i)} = k)}$$

Which means each word affects the probability of some class proportionally to the number of times the class and it co-occurred, over the number of times the class appeared.

$$\pi_k = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathbb{1}\left(t^{(i)} = k\right)\right)}{N}$$

Which means the prior probability of a class is the amount of times it appeared over the total number of class appearances.

Tips

- Remember that these symbols are supposed to mean something, when you're doing a derivation, focus on keeping the context of all the symbols you introduce. It will help you realize when your results are nonsense.
- It's important to think about how this model will behave in the real world, for example the NBC output is based on a product numbers x < 1. What does this say about the behaviour of the output over large sequences?
- We encode our word vector with binary occurence statements, not the number of times they appear. Why does this make sense to do?
 What are the implications of this approach?

Spam Classification Demo

Gaussian Bayes Classifier

Instead of assuming conditional independence of x_j , we model p(x|t) as a Gaussian distribution and the dependence relation of x_j is encoded in the covariance matrix.

Gaussian Bayes Classifier

Instead of assuming conditional independence of x_j , we model p(x|t) as a Gaussian distribution and the dependence relation of x_j is encoded in the covariance matrix.

Multivariate Gaussian distribution:

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{(2\pi)^D \det(\Sigma)}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(x-\mu)^T \Sigma^{-1}(x-\mu)\right)$$

 μ : mean, Σ : covariance matrix, D: dim(x)

$$heta = [\mu, \Sigma, \pi], Z = \sqrt{(2\pi)^D \det(\Sigma)}$$

$$p(x|t) = \frac{1}{Z} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(x-\mu)^T \Sigma^{-1}(x-\mu)\right)$$

$$\theta = [\mu, \Sigma, \pi], Z = \sqrt{(2\pi)^D \det(\Sigma)}$$

$$p(x|t) = \frac{1}{Z} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(x-\mu)^T \Sigma^{-1}(x-\mu)\right)$$

$$\log L(\theta) = \log p(x, t|\theta) = \log p(t|\theta) + \log p(x|t, \theta)$$

$$\theta = [\mu, \Sigma, \pi], Z = \sqrt{(2\pi)^D \det(\Sigma)}$$

$$p(x|t) = \frac{1}{Z} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(x-\mu)^T \Sigma^{-1}(x-\mu)\right)$$

$$\log L(\theta) = \log p(x, t|\theta) = \log p(t|\theta) + \log p(x|t, \theta)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{N} \log \pi_{t^{(i)}} - \log Z - \frac{1}{2} \left(x^{(i)} - \mu_{t^{(i)}} \right)^{T} \Sigma_{t^{(i)}}^{-1} \left(x^{(i)} - \mu_{t^{(i)}} \right)$$

Want: $\arg\max_{\theta}\log L(\theta)$ subject to $\sum_{k}\pi_{k}=1$

$$\frac{\partial \log L}{\partial \mu_k} = -\sum_{i=0}^N \mathbb{1}\left(t^{(i)} = k\right) \Sigma^{-1}(x^{(i)} - \mu_k) = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial \log L}{\partial \mu_k} = -\sum_{i=0}^N \mathbb{1}\left(t^{(i)} = k\right) \Sigma^{-1}(x^{(i)} - \mu_k) = 0$$

$$\mu_{k} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathbb{1}\left(t^{(i)} = k\right) x^{(i)}}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathbb{1}\left(t^{(i)} = k\right)}$$

Take derivative w.r.t. Σ^{-1} (not Σ)

Take derivative w.r.t. Σ^{-1} (not Σ) Note:

$$\frac{\partial \det(A)}{\partial A} = \det(A)A^{-1^T}$$
$$\det(A)^{-1} = \det(A^{-1})$$
$$\frac{\partial x^T A x}{\partial A} = xx^T$$
$$\Sigma^T = \Sigma$$

Take derivative w.r.t. Σ^{-1} (not Σ) Note:

$$\frac{\partial \det(A)}{\partial A} = \det(A)A^{-1^T}$$
$$\det(A)^{-1} = \det(A^{-1})$$
$$\frac{\partial x^T A x}{\partial A} = xx^T$$
$$\Sigma^T = \Sigma$$

$$\frac{\partial \log L}{\partial \Sigma_k^{-1}} = -\sum_{i=0}^N \mathbb{1}\left(t^{(i)} = k\right) \left[-\frac{\partial \log Z_k}{\partial \Sigma_k^{-1}} - \frac{1}{2}(x^{(i)} - \mu_k)(x^{(i)} - \mu_k)^T\right] = 0$$

Take derivative w.r.t. Σ^{-1} (not Σ) Note:

$$\frac{\partial \det(A)}{\partial A} = \det(A)A^{-1^T}$$
$$\det(A)^{-1} = \det(A^{-1})$$
$$\frac{\partial x^T A x}{\partial A} = xx^T$$
$$\Sigma^T = \Sigma$$

$$\frac{\partial \log L}{\partial \Sigma_k^{-1}} = -\sum_{i=0}^N \mathbb{1}\left(t^{(i)} = k\right) \left[-\frac{\partial \log Z_k}{\partial \Sigma_k^{-1}} - \frac{1}{2}(x^{(i)} - \mu_k)(x^{(i)} - \mu_k)^T\right] = 0$$

$$Z_k = \sqrt{(2\pi)^D \det(\Sigma_k)}$$

$$\frac{\partial \log Z_k}{\partial \Sigma_k^{-1}} = \frac{1}{Z_k} \frac{\partial Z_k}{\partial \Sigma_k^{-1}} = (2\pi)^{-\frac{D}{2}} \det(\Sigma_k)^{-\frac{1}{2}} (2\pi)^{\frac{D}{2}} \frac{\partial \det(\Sigma_k^{-1})^{-\frac{1}{2}}}{\partial \Sigma_k^{-1}}$$

$$Z_k = \sqrt{(2\pi)^D \det(\Sigma_k)}$$

$$\frac{\partial \log Z_k}{\partial \Sigma_k^{-1}} = \frac{1}{Z_k} \frac{\partial Z_k}{\partial \Sigma_k^{-1}} = (2\pi)^{-\frac{D}{2}} \det(\Sigma_k)^{-\frac{1}{2}} (2\pi)^{\frac{D}{2}} \frac{\partial \det(\Sigma_k^{-1})^{-\frac{1}{2}}}{\partial \Sigma_k^{-1}}$$
$$= \det(\Sigma_k^{-1})^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(-\frac{1}{2}\right) \det(\Sigma_k^{-1})^{-\frac{3}{2}} \det(\Sigma_k^{-1}) \Sigma_k^T = -\frac{1}{2} \Sigma_k$$

$$Z_k = \sqrt{(2\pi)^D \det(\Sigma_k)}$$

$$\frac{\partial \log Z_k}{\partial \Sigma_k^{-1}} = \frac{1}{Z_k} \frac{\partial Z_k}{\partial \Sigma_k^{-1}} = (2\pi)^{-\frac{D}{2}} \det(\Sigma_k)^{-\frac{1}{2}} (2\pi)^{\frac{D}{2}} \frac{\partial \det(\Sigma_k^{-1})^{-\frac{1}{2}}}{\partial \Sigma_k^{-1}}$$
$$= \det(\Sigma_k^{-1})^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(-\frac{1}{2}\right) \det(\Sigma_k^{-1})^{-\frac{3}{2}} \det(\Sigma_k^{-1}) \Sigma_k^T = -\frac{1}{2} \Sigma_k$$

$$\frac{\partial \log L}{\partial \Sigma_k^{-1}} = -\sum_{i=0}^{N} \mathbb{1}\left(t^{(i)} = k\right) \left[\frac{1}{2}\Sigma_k - \frac{1}{2}(x^{(i)} - \mu_k)(x^{(i)} - \mu_k)^T\right] = 0$$

$$Z_k = \sqrt{(2\pi)^D \det(\Sigma_k)}$$

$$\frac{\partial \log Z_k}{\partial \Sigma_k^{-1}} = \frac{1}{Z_k} \frac{\partial Z_k}{\partial \Sigma_k^{-1}} = (2\pi)^{-\frac{D}{2}} \det(\Sigma_k)^{-\frac{1}{2}} (2\pi)^{\frac{D}{2}} \frac{\partial \det(\Sigma_k^{-1})^{-\frac{1}{2}}}{\partial \Sigma_k^{-1}}$$
$$= \det(\Sigma_k^{-1})^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(-\frac{1}{2}\right) \det(\Sigma_k^{-1})^{-\frac{3}{2}} \det(\Sigma_k^{-1}) \Sigma_k^T = -\frac{1}{2} \Sigma_k$$

$$\frac{\partial \log L}{\partial \Sigma_k^{-1}} = -\sum_{i=0}^N \mathbb{1}\left(t^{(i)} = k\right) \left[\frac{1}{2}\Sigma_k - \frac{1}{2}(x^{(i)} - \mu_k)(x^{(i)} - \mu_k)^T\right] = 0$$

$$\Sigma_{k} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathbb{1}\left(t^{(i)} = k\right) \left(x^{(i)} - \mu_{k}\right) \left(x^{(i)} - \mu_{k}\right)^{T}}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathbb{1}\left(t^{(i)} = k\right)}$$

$$\pi_k = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathbb{1} \left(t^{(i)} = k \right) \right)}{N}$$
(Same as Bernoulli)

Gaussian Bayes Classifier Demo

Gaussian Bayes Classifier

If we constrain Σ to be diagonal, then we can rewrite $p(x_j|t)$ as a product of $p(x_i|t)$

$$p(x|t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{(2\pi)^D \det(\Sigma_t)}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(x_j - \mu_{jt})^T \Sigma_t^{-1} (x_k - \mu_{kt})\right)$$

Gaussian Bayes Classifier

If we constrain Σ to be diagonal, then we can rewrite $p(x_j|t)$ as a product of $p(x_i|t)$

$$p(x|t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{(2\pi)^D \det(\Sigma_t)}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(x_j - \mu_{jt})^T \Sigma_t^{-1} (x_k - \mu_{kt})\right)$$
$$= \prod_{i=1}^D \frac{1}{\sqrt{(2\pi)^D \Sigma_{t,ii}}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\Sigma_{t,ij}} ||x_j - \mu_{jt}||_2^2\right) = \prod_{i=1}^D p(x_j|t)$$

Diagonal covariance matrix satisfies the naive Bayes assumption.

Gaussian Bayes Classifier

Case 1: The covariance matrix is shared among classes

$$p(x|t) = \mathcal{N}(x|\mu_t, \Sigma)$$

Case 2: Each class has its own covariance

$$p(x|t) = \mathcal{N}(x|\mu_t, \Sigma_t)$$

If the covariance is shared between classes,

$$p(x|t=1)=p(x|t=0)$$

If the covariance is shared between classes,

$$p(x|t=1) = p(x|t=0)$$

$$\log \pi_1 - \frac{1}{2}(x - \mu_1)^T \Sigma^{-1}(x - \mu_1) = \log \pi_0 - \frac{1}{2}(x - \mu_0)^T \Sigma^{-1}(x - \mu_0)$$

If the covariance is shared between classes,

$$p(x|t=1) = p(x|t=0)$$

$$\log \pi_1 - \frac{1}{2}(x - \mu_1)^T \Sigma^{-1}(x - \mu_1) = \log \pi_0 - \frac{1}{2}(x - \mu_0)^T \Sigma^{-1}(x - \mu_0)$$

$$C + x^T \Sigma^{-1} x - 2\mu_1^T \Sigma^{-1} x + \mu_1^T \Sigma^{-1} \mu_1 = x^T \Sigma^{-1} x - 2\mu_0^T \Sigma^{-1} x + \mu_0^T \Sigma^{-1} \mu_0$$

$$\left[2(\mu_0 - \mu_1)^T \Sigma^{-1} \right] x - (\mu_0 - \mu_1)^T \Sigma^{-1}(\mu_0 - \mu_1) = C$$

If the covariance is shared between classes,

$$p(x|t=1) = p(x|t=0)$$

$$\log \pi_1 - \frac{1}{2}(x - \mu_1)^T \Sigma^{-1}(x - \mu_1) = \log \pi_0 - \frac{1}{2}(x - \mu_0)^T \Sigma^{-1}(x - \mu_0)$$

$$C + x^T \Sigma^{-1} x - 2\mu_1^T \Sigma^{-1} x + \mu_1^T \Sigma^{-1} \mu_1 = x^T \Sigma^{-1} x - 2\mu_0^T \Sigma^{-1} x + \mu_0^T \Sigma^{-1} \mu_0$$

$$\left[2(\mu_0 - \mu_1)^T \Sigma^{-1} \right] x - (\mu_0 - \mu_1)^T \Sigma^{-1}(\mu_0 - \mu_1) = C$$

The decision boundary is a linear function (a hyperplane in general).

 $\Rightarrow a^T x - b - 0$

$$\frac{p(x,t=0)}{p(x,t=0)+p(x,t=1)} = \frac{\pi_0 \mathcal{N}(x|\mu_0,\Sigma)}{\pi_0 \mathcal{N}(x|\mu_0,\Sigma)+\pi_1 \mathcal{N}(x|\mu_1,\Sigma)}$$

$$\frac{p(x,t=0)}{p(x,t=0)+p(x,t=1)} = \frac{\pi_0 \mathcal{N}(x|\mu_0,\Sigma)}{\pi_0 \mathcal{N}(x|\mu_0,\Sigma)+\pi_1 \mathcal{N}(x|\mu_1,\Sigma)}$$

$$= \left\{1 + \frac{\pi_1}{\pi_0} \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2}(x - \mu_1)^T \Sigma^{-1}(x - \mu_1) + \frac{1}{2}(x - \mu_0)^T \Sigma^{-1}(x - \mu_0)\right]\right\}^{-1}$$

$$\frac{\textit{p}(\textit{x},\textit{t}=0)}{\textit{p}(\textit{x},\textit{t}=0) + \textit{p}(\textit{x},\textit{t}=1)} = \frac{\pi_0 \mathcal{N}(\textit{x}|\mu_0, \Sigma)}{\pi_0 \mathcal{N}(\textit{x}|\mu_0, \Sigma) + \pi_1 \mathcal{N}(\textit{x}|\mu_1, \Sigma)}$$

$$= \left\{1 + \frac{\pi_1}{\pi_0} \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2}(x - \mu_1)^T \Sigma^{-1}(x - \mu_1) + \frac{1}{2}(x - \mu_0)^T \Sigma^{-1}(x - \mu_0)\right]\right\}^{-1}$$

$$= \left\{ 1 + \exp\left[\log\frac{\pi_1}{\pi_0} + (\mu_1 - \mu_0)^T \Sigma^{-1} x + \frac{1}{2} \left(\mu_1^T \Sigma^{-1} \mu_1 - \mu_0^T \Sigma^{-1} \mu_0\right)\right] \right\}^{-1}$$

$$\frac{p(x, t = 0)}{p(x, t = 0) + p(x, t = 1)} = \frac{\pi_0 \mathcal{N}(x | \mu_0, \Sigma)}{\pi_0 \mathcal{N}(x | \mu_0, \Sigma) + \pi_1 \mathcal{N}(x | \mu_1, \Sigma)}$$

$$= \left\{ 1 + \frac{\pi_1}{\pi_0} \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2} (x - \mu_1)^T \Sigma^{-1} (x - \mu_1) + \frac{1}{2} (x - \mu_0)^T \Sigma^{-1} (x - \mu_0) \right] \right\}^{-1}$$

$$= \left\{ 1 + \exp\left[\log \frac{\pi_1}{\pi_0} + (\mu_1 - \mu_0)^T \Sigma^{-1} x + \frac{1}{2} \left(\mu_1^T \Sigma^{-1} \mu_1 - \mu_0^T \Sigma^{-1} \mu_0 \right) \right] \right\}^{-1}$$

$$= \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-w^T x - b)}$$

If the covariance is not shared between classes,

$$p(x|t=1) = p(x|t=0)$$

If the covariance is not shared between classes,

$$p(x|t=1)=p(x|t=0)$$

$$\log \pi_1 - \frac{1}{2}(x - \mu_1)^T \Sigma_1^{-1}(x - \mu_1) = \log \pi_0 - \frac{1}{2}(x - \mu_0)^T \Sigma_0^{-1}(x - \mu_0)$$

If the covariance is not shared between classes,

$$p(x|t=1)=p(x|t=0)$$

$$\log \pi_1 - \frac{1}{2}(x - \mu_1)^T \Sigma_1^{-1}(x - \mu_1) = \log \pi_0 - \frac{1}{2}(x - \mu_0)^T \Sigma_0^{-1}(x - \mu_0)$$

$$x^{T} \left(\Sigma_{1}^{-1} - \Sigma_{0}^{-1} \right) x - 2 \left(\mu_{1}^{T} \Sigma_{1}^{-1} - \mu_{0}^{T} \Sigma_{0}^{-1} \right) x + \left(\mu_{0}^{T} \Sigma_{0} \mu_{0} - \mu_{1}^{T} \Sigma_{1} \mu_{1} \right) = C$$

If the covariance is not shared between classes,

$$p(x|t=1) = p(x|t=0)$$

$$\log \pi_1 - \frac{1}{2} (x - \mu_1)^T \Sigma_1^{-1} (x - \mu_1) = \log \pi_0 - \frac{1}{2} (x - \mu_0)^T \Sigma_0^{-1} (x - \mu_0)$$
$$\times^T (\Sigma_1^{-1} - \Sigma_0^{-1}) \times -2 (\mu_1^T \Sigma_1^{-1} - \mu_0^T \Sigma_0^{-1}) \times + (\mu_0^T \Sigma_0 \mu_0 - \mu_1^T \Sigma_1 \mu_1) = C$$

$$\Rightarrow x^T Q x - 2b^T x + c = 0$$

The decision boundary is a quadratic function. In 2-d case, it looks like an ellipse, or a parabola, or a hyperbola.

Thanks!